# 2011 COACHE Survey

Nature of Work: Research, Service, Teaching

Comparions of Mean Ratings by Tenure Status (1=low to 5=high)

			NCSU	
Sub-theme	Question	Pre-tenure	Tenured	Diff 1
	Benchmark: Nature of work: research	3.27	3.22	
	Time spent on research	3.64	3.63	
	Expectations for finding external funding	3.29	3.22	
	Influence over focus of research	4.30	4.35	
	Quality of grad students to support research	3.19	3.34	
Research	Support for research	2.91	2.68	
Research	Support for engaging undergrads in research	2.99	3.09	
	Support for obtaining grants (pre-award)	3.24	3.09	
	Support for maintaining grants (post-award)	3.10	3.02	
	Support for securing grad student assistance	2.85	2.86	
	Support for travel to present/conduct research	3.26	2.96	<b>↑</b>
	Availability of course release for research	2.89	2.81	
Service	Benchmark: Nature of work: service	3.52	3.34	
	Time spent on service	3.65	3.52	
	Support for faculty in leadership roles	3.13	2.83	<b>↑</b>
	Number of committees	3.76	3.55	
Service	Attractiveness of committees	3.50	3.40	
	Discretion to choose committees	3.50	3.49	
	Equitability of committee assignments	3.36	3.22	
	Number of student advisees	3.79	3.70	
	Benchmark: Nature of work: teaching	3.68	3.77	
	Time spent on teaching	3.82	3.91	
	Number of courses taught	3.89	3.88	
	Level of courses taught	4.01	4.12	
Teaching	Discretion over course content	4.27	4.43	
	Number of students in classes taught	3.59	3.77	
	Quality of students taught	3.37	3.35	
	Equitability of distribution of teaching load	3.27	3.12	
	Quality of grad students to support teaching	3.15	3.37	
	Time spent on outreach	3.71	3.74	
Other work	Time spent on administrative tasks	2.99	2.84	
activities	Ability to balance teaching/research/service	3.34	3.43	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's pre-tenure faculty have a mean rating that is notably higher than that of NC State's tenured faculty, whereas down arrows indicate that pre-tenure faculty's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

#### 2011 COACHE Survey

# Facilities, Personal/Family Policies, Benefits, and Salary Comparions of Mean Ratings by Tenure Status (1=low to 5=high)

			NCSU	
Sub-theme	Question	Pre-tenure	Tenured	Diff 1
	Benchmark: Facilities and work resources	3.61	3.57	
	Support for improving teaching	3.43	3.27	
	Office	3.96	3.95	
Facilities and	Laboratory, research, studio space	3.30	3.44	
Facilities and work resources	Equipment	3.67	3.47	
work resources	Classrooms	3.62	3.73	
	Library resources	4.10	4.18	
	Computing and technical support	3.43	3.50	
	Clerical/administrative support	3.21	2.93	<b>↑</b>
	Benchmark: Personal and family policies	3.03	2.93	
	Housing benefits	2.18	2.21	
	Tuition waivers, remission, or exchange	2.43	2.10	<b>↑</b>
	Spousal/partner hiring program	2.60	2.80	
Personal and	Childcare	2.22	2.36	
family policies	Eldercare	2.48	2.62	
larrilly policies	Family medical/parental leave	3.24	3.35	
	Flexible workload/modified duties	3.50	3.55	
	Stop-the-clock policies <sup>2</sup>	3.66	N/A	N/A
	Inst. does what it can for work/life compatibility	3.06	2.98	
	Right balance between professional/personal	3.02	3.43	$\downarrow$
Health and retirement benefits	Benchmark: Health and retirement benefits	2.93	2.78	
	Health benefits for yourself	2.92	2.74	
	Health benefits for family	2.33	2.24	
	Retirement benefits	3.35	3.00	<b>↑</b>
	Phased retirement options	3.29	3.27	
Salary	Salary	2.65	2.80	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's pre-tenure faculty have a mean rating that is notably higher than that of NC State's tenured faculty, whereas down arrows indicate that pre-tenure faculty's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Asked of pre-tenure faculty only

# 2011 COACHE Survey Interdisciplinary Work, Collaboration, and Mentoring Comparions of Mean Ratings by Tenure Status (1=low to 5=high)

			NCSU		
Sub-theme	Question	Pre-tenure	Tenured	Diff 1	
	Benchmark: Interdisciplinary work	2.78	2.64		
	Budgets encourage interdiscip. work	2.70	2.48		
latardia sialia am	Facilities conducive to interdiscip. work	2.56	2.60		
Interdisciplinary work	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in merit	2.81	2.57		
WOIK	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in promotion <sup>2</sup>	N/A	2.66	N/A	
	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in tenure <sup>3</sup>	2.86	N/A	N/A	
	Dept. knows how to evaluate interdiscip. work	3.05	2.84		
	Benchmark: Collaboration	3.73	3.75		
Collaboration	Opportunities for collab. within dept.	3.61	3.81		
	Opportunities for collab. outside dept.	3.60	3.61		
	Opportunities for collab. outside inst.	3.99	3.83		
	Benchmark: Mentoring	3.34	2.98	<b>↑</b>	
	Effectiveness of mentoring from within dept.	3.58	3.47		
	Effectiveness of mentoring from outside dept.	3.44	3.30		
Mentoring	Effectiveness of mentoring from outside inst.	3.92	3.64	<b>↑</b>	
	Mentoring of pre-tenure faculty	3.11	3.33		
	Mentoring of associate faculty <sup>2</sup>	N/A	2.69	N/A	
	Support for faculty to be good mentors <sup>2</sup>	N/A	2.37	N/A	
	Being a mentor is fulfilling <sup>2</sup>	N/A	4.10	N/A	
	Importance of mentoring within dept.	4.41	4.13	1	
	Importance of mentoring outside dept.	3.53	3.26	1	
	Importance of mentoring outside inst.	3.93	3.49	1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's pre-tenure faculty have a mean rating that is notably higher than that of NC State's tenured faculty, whereas down arrows indicate that pre-tenure faculty's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Asked of tenured faculty only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Asked of pre-tenure faculty only

# 2011 COACHE Survey

#### **Tenure and Promotion**

## Comparions of Mean Ratings by Tenure Status (1=low to 5=high)

		NCSU			
Sub-theme	Question	Pre-tenure	Tenured	Diff 1	
	Benchmark: Tenure policies	3.64	N/A	N/A	
	Clarity of tenure process	3.87	N/A	N/A	
	Clarity of tenure criteria	3.73	N/A	N/A	
<b>T</b>	Clarity of tenure standards	3.49	N/A	N/A	
Tenure policies <sup>2</sup>	Clarity of body of evidence for deciding tenure	3.76	N/A	N/A	
	Clarity of whether I will achieve tenure	3.70	N/A	N/A	
	Consistency of messages about tenure	3.25	N/A	N/A	
	Tenure decisions are performance-based	3.69	N/A	N/A	
	Benchmark: Tenure clarity	3.31	N/A	N/A	
	Clarity of expectations: Scholar	3.84	N/A	N/A	
	Clarity of expectations: Teacher	3.65	N/A	N/A	
Tenure clarity <sup>2</sup>	Clarity of expectations: Advisor	3.30	N/A	N/A	
	Clarity of expectations: Colleague	3.24	N/A	N/A	
	Clarity of expectations: Campus citizen	2.92	N/A	N/A	
	Clarity of expectations: Broader community	2.90	N/A	N/A	
	Benchmark: Tenure reasonableness	3.85	N/A	N/A	
	Reasonable expectations: Scholar	4.01	N/A	N/A	
Tenure	Reasonable expectations: Teacher	4.15	N/A	N/A	
reasonableness <sup>2</sup>	Reasonable expectations: Advisor	3.84	N/A	N/A	
	Reasonable expectations: Colleague	3.92	N/A	N/A	
	Reasonable expectations: Campus citizen	3.68	N/A	N/A	
	Reasonable expectations: Community member	3.63	N/A	N/A	
	Benchmark: Promotion	N/A	3.86	N/A	
	Reasonable expectations: Promotion	N/A	3.91	N/A	
	Dept. culture encourages promotion	N/A	3.97	N/A	
Promotion <sup>3</sup>	Clarity of promotion process	N/A	4.05	N/A	
	Clarity of promotion criteria	N/A	3.94	N/A	
	Clarity of promotion standards	N/A	3.64	N/A	
	Clarity of body of evidence for promotion	N/A	4.00	N/A	
	Clarity of time frame for promotion	N/A	3.66	N/A	
	Clarity of whether I will be promoted <sup>4</sup>	N/A	3.28	N/A	
	Decision to remain here based on promotion <sup>4</sup>	N/A	3.32	N/A	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's pre-tenure faculty have a mean rating that is notably higher than that of NC State's tenured faculty, whereas down arrows indicate that pre-tenure faculty's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Asked of pre-tenure faculty only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Asked of tenured faculty only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Asked of associate faculty only

#### 2011 COACHE Survey

#### Leadership and Governance

#### Comparions of Mean Ratings by Tenure Status (1=low to 5=high)

		NCSU			
Sub-theme	Question	Pre-tenure	Tenured	Diff 1	
Institutional	Priorities are stated consistently	2.85	2.64		
Governance and	Priorities are acted on consistently	2.70	2.46		
Leadership	Changed priorities negatively affect my work	3.33	3.34		
	Benchmark: Leadership: senior	3.30	3.22		
	Pres/Chancellor: Pace of decision making	3.35	3.35		
l o o do robio.	Pres/Chancellor: Stated priorities	3.41	3.27		
Leadership: Senior	Pres/Chancellor: Communication of priorities	3.45	3.36		
Seriioi	CAO: Pace of decision making	3.19	3.18		
	CAO: Stated priorities	3.17	3.10		
	CAO: Communication of priorities	3.23	3.06		
	Benchmark: Leadership: divisional	3.08	2.93		
	Dean: Pace of decision making	3.10	3.00		
Leadership: Divisional	Dean: Stated priorities	3.09	2.92		
	Dean: Communication of priorities	3.06	2.95		
	Dean: Ensuring faculty input	3.09	2.86		
	Dean: Support in adapting to change	2.78	2.52	<b>↑</b>	
Leadership: Departmental	Benchmark: Leadership: departmental	3.85	3.64		
	Head/Chair: Pace of decision making	3.80	3.64		
	Head/Chair: Stated priorities	3.72	3.52		
	Head/Chair: Communication of priorities	3.75	3.57		
	Head/Chair: Ensuring faculty input	3.86	3.62		
	Head/Chair: Fairness in evaluating work	4.17	3.86	<b>↑</b>	
	Head/Chair: Support in adapting to change	3.55	3.36		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's pre-tenure faculty have a mean rating that is notably higher than that of NC State's tenured faculty, whereas down arrows indicate that pre-tenure faculty's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

#### 2011 COACHE Survey

# Departmental Collegiality, Engagement, and Quality Comparions of Mean Ratings by Tenure Status (1=low to 5=high)

Departmental collegiality				NCSU		
Departmental collegiality	Sub-theme	Question	Pre-tenure	Tenured	Diff 1	
Departmental collegiality   Amount of personal interaction w/ pre-tenure   3.78   3.61		Benchmark: Departmental collegiality	3.84	3.79		
Departmental collegiality  Amount of personal interaction w/ pre-tenure  How well you fit  Amount of personal interaction w/ tenured  3.59 3.63  Colleagues pitch in when needed 3.72 3.73  Dept. is collegial  Colleagues committed to diversity/inclusion  Benchmark: Departmental engagement Discussions of undergrad student learning Discussions of grad student learning Discussions of effective teaching practices Discussions of effective use of technology Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of effective teaching practices Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of effective teaching traction w/ tenured Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of current research methods Discussions of effective use of technology Discussions of effective use of technology Discussions of effective teaching practices Discussions of effec		Colleagues support work/life balance	3.52	3.66		
How well you fit		Meeting times compatible with personal needs	4.22	4.11		
Name	Departmental	Amount of personal interaction w/ pre-tenure	3.78	3.61		
Amount of personal interaction w/ tenured 3.59 3.63  Colleagues pitch in when needed 3.72 3.73  Dept. is collegial 4.10 3.95  Colleagues committed to diversity/inclusion 3.84 3.99  Benchmark: Departmental engagement 3.49 3.49  Discussions of undergrad student learning 3.19 3.30  Discussions of grad student learning 3.54 3.61  Departmental Discussions of effective teaching practices 3.30 3.29  Discussions of effective use of technology 3.26 3.31  Discussions of current research methods 3.39 3.40  Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure 4.02 3.78  Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured 3.79 3.75  Benchmark: Departmental quality 3.69 3.64  Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty 3.47 3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92	•	How well you fit	3.87	3.63		
Dept. is collegial Colleagues committed to diversity/inclusion Benchmark: Departmental engagement Discussions of undergrad student learning Discussions of grad student learning Discussions of effective teaching practices Discussions of effective use of technology Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of effective teaching practices Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of current research methods Discussions of effective use of technology Discussions of effective teaching practices Discussions of effe	concentry	Amount of personal interaction w/ tenured	3.59	3.63		
Colleagues committed to diversity/inclusion 3.84 3.99  Benchmark: Departmental engagement 3.49 3.49  Discussions of undergrad student learning 3.19 3.30  Discussions of grad student learning 3.54 3.61  Departmental Discussions of effective teaching practices 3.30 3.29  Discussions of effective use of technology 3.26 3.31  Discussions of current research methods 3.39 3.40  Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure 4.02 3.78  Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured 3.79 3.75  Benchmark: Departmental quality 3.69 3.64  Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty 3.47 3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92		Colleagues pitch in when needed	3.72	3.73		
Benchmark: Departmental engagement Discussions of undergrad student learning Discussions of grad student learning Discussions of effective teaching practices Obscussions of effective use of technology Discussions of effective use of technology Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured Discussions of current research methods Amount of professional interaction w/ gre-tenure And Senchmark: Departmental quality Departmental vitality of tenured faculty And Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty And Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty		Dept. is collegial	4.10	3.95		
Discussions of undergrad student learning  Discussions of grad student learning  Discussions of grad student learning  Discussions of effective teaching practices  and an area of technology  Discussions of effective use of technology  Discussions of current research methods  Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure  Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured  Discussions of current research methods  Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure  Departmental professional interaction w/ tenured  Discussions of effective use of technology  3.26  3.31  Discussions of current research methods  3.39  3.40  Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure  3.79  3.75  Departmental profuctivity of tenured faculty  3.47  3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty  4.27  4.06  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty  3.41  3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty  4.02  3.92		Colleagues committed to diversity/inclusion	3.84	3.99		
Discussions of grad student learning 3.54 3.61  Departmental engagement Discussions of effective teaching practices 3.30 3.29  Discussions of effective use of technology 3.26 3.31  Discussions of current research methods 3.39 3.40  Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure 4.02 3.78  Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured 3.79 3.75  Benchmark: Departmental quality 3.69 3.64  Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty 3.47 3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92		Benchmark: Departmental engagement	3.49	3.49		
Departmental engagement Discussions of effective teaching practices 3.30 3.29  Discussions of effective use of technology 3.26 3.31  Discussions of current research methods 3.39 3.40  Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure 4.02 3.78  Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured 3.79 3.75  Benchmark: Departmental quality 3.69 3.64  Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty 3.47 3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92	•	Discussions of undergrad student learning	3.19	3.30		
engagement Discussions of effective use of technology 3.26 3.31  Discussions of current research methods 3.39 3.40  Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure 4.02 3.78  Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured 3.79 3.75  Benchmark: Departmental quality 3.69 3.64  Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty 3.47 3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92		Discussions of grad student learning	3.54	3.61		
Discussions of current research methods  Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure  Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured  Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured  Benchmark: Departmental quality  Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty  3.47  3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty  3.41  3.57  Departmental  Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty  4.02  3.92		Discussions of effective teaching practices	3.30	3.29		
Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure 4.02 3.78  Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured 3.79 3.75  Benchmark: Departmental quality 3.69 3.64  Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty 3.47 3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92	engagement	Discussions of effective use of technology	3.26	3.31		
Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured 3.79 3.75  Benchmark: Departmental quality 3.69 3.64  Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty 3.47 3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92		Discussions of current research methods	3.39	3.40		
Benchmark: Departmental quality 3.69 3.64 Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty 3.47 3.66 Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06 Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57 Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92		Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure	4.02	3.78		
Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty 3.47 3.66  Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06  Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92		Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured	3.79	3.75		
Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty 4.27 4.06 Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92		Benchmark: Departmental quality	3.69	3.64		
Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty 3.41 3.57  Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92		Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty	3.47	3.66		
Departmental Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty 4.02 3.92		Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty	4.27	4.06		
	Departmental quality	Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty	3.41	3.57		
		Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty	4.02	3.92		
quality Teaching effectiveness of tenured faculty 3.66 3.79		Teaching effectiveness of tenured faculty	3.66	3.79		
Teaching effectiveness of pre-tenure faculty 4.03 3.94		Teaching effectiveness of pre-tenure faculty	4.03	3.94		
Dept. is successful at faculty recruitment <sup>2</sup> N/A 3.70 N		Dept. is successful at faculty recruitment <sup>2</sup>	N/A	3.70	N/A	
Dept. is successful at faculty retention <sup>2</sup> N/A 3.45 N		Dept. is successful at faculty retention <sup>2</sup>	N/A	3.45	N/A	
Dept. addresses sub-standard performance 2.75 2.79		Dept. addresses sub-standard performance	2.75	2.79		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's pre-tenure faculty have a mean rating that is notably higher than that of NC State's tenured faculty, whereas down arrows indicate that pre-tenure faculty's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Asked of tenured faculty only

#### 2011 COACHE Survey

## **Appreciation and Recognition**

#### Comparions of Mean Ratings by Tenure Status (1=low to 5=high)

	NCSU	
Pre-tenure	Tenured	Diff <sup>1</sup>
3.41	3.23	
3.33	3.30	
3.23	3.11	
3.46	3.40	
3.26	3.17	
3.20	3.13	
3.68	3.62	
N/A	2.79	N/A
N/A	3.00	N/A
3.74	3.62	
N/A	3.37	N/A
N/A	3.10	N/A
3.05	3.03	
	3.41 3.33 3.23 3.46 3.26 3.20 3.68 N/A N/A N/A N/A	Pre-tenure         Tenured           3.41         3.23           3.33         3.30           3.23         3.11           3.46         3.40           3.26         3.17           3.20         3.13           3.68         3.62           N/A         2.79           N/A         3.00           3.74         3.62           N/A         3.37           N/A         3.10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's pre-tenure faculty have a mean rating that is notably higher than that of NC State's tenured faculty, whereas down arrows indicate that pre-tenure faculty's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Asked of tenured faculty only

# 2011 COACHE Survey

#### **Retention and Negotiations**

#### Comparions of Mean Ratings by Tenure Status (1=low to 5=high)

		NCSU	
Question	Pre-tenure	Tenured	Diff 1
Outside offers are necessary in negotiations <sup>2</sup>	N/A	1.85	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's pre-tenure faculty have a mean rating that is notably higher than that of NC State's tenured faculty, whereas down arrows indicate that pre-tenure faculty's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Asked of tenured faculty only

# 2011 COACHE Survey

#### **Global Satisfaction**

Comparions of Mean Ratings by Tenure Status (1=low to 5=high)

		NCSU	
Question	Pre-tenure	Tenured	Diff 1
Visible leadership for support of diversity	3.77	4.00	
I would again choose this institution	3.82	3.71	
Department as a place to work	3.98	3.78	
Institution as a place to work	3.65	3.59	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's pretenure faculty have a mean rating that is notably higher than that of NC State's tenured faculty, whereas down arrows indicate that pre-tenure faculty's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.